## **OBSERVATION REVIEW QUESTIONS**

QUESTIONS	OBSERVER	INSTRUCTOR
What went well in the lesson?		
What were some challenges in the lesson?		
How do you think the students reacted to the lesson?		
What surprised you and why?		
what surprised you and why:		
What would you do differently next time?		
What would you do differently field time.		
Would you like to share this lesson or some		
part of it with other instructors?		

Center for Applied Linguistics, 2009

## CAELA NETWORK INSTRUCTOR CHECKLIST FORM

Instructor:	Title/Topic of Lesson:	
Observer:	Class Title:	Date:
	assroom observations. Place a check b	

	INSTRUCTIONAL ELEMENTS (The instructor did the following)	✓	DEMONSTRATED ACTIVITIES (Check all appropriate boxes below; fill in information at appropriate spaces)	
NOI	Provided review of previous work as warm-up exercise for students		Used an activity that fosters social communication	
			Used an activity to review previous learning	
			Used an activity to orient group to new topics	
			Other:	
	Stated lesson objectives and reviewed the agenda		Indicate observed activity:	
NTRODUCTION	Provided opportunities for students to become familiar with lesson materials		Previewed vocabulary	
			Used visuals to preview (e.g., table of contents, headings, graphic organizer)	
=	Checked students' background knowledge on the topic/lesson		Asked questions about the topic	
			Engaged students in an activity (e.g., Jeopardy, word association)	
			Other:	
	Used appropriate presentation style(s) for content and		Lecture Discussion Role play	
	audience		Demonstration Case study Other activity/game	
			Other:	
PRESENTATION	Gave adequate/appropriate explanation of new concepts		Describe:	
M	Responded to students' questions		Answered questions immediately	
			Deferred responding until later in the lesson	
RES			Posted questions for later	
虿	Periodically checked students' comprehension		Asked general questions (e.g., Is there anything you do not understand?)	
			Asked content-specific questions	
			Asked students to summarize in their own words	
			Other:	
	Set up practice activities clearly		Clearly modeled activities	
			Gave clear oral and written instructions	
			Gave examples and/or demonstrations	
			Scaffolded learning	
			Other:	
<u> </u>	Gave practice activities during class		What was observed?	
RACTICE	Monitored/assisted all students (individually, paired, and		Listened to each group interacting	
PRA	grouped)		Answered only clarifying questions	
			Other:	
	Used a variety of communicative strategies for practice of language skills and content		Students paired/grouped for speaking	
			Students exchanged writing for targeted oral feedback	
			Students prepared projects/posters, etc., and shared with class	
			Other:	

	INSTRUCTIONAL ELEMENTS (The instructor did the following)	✓	DEMONSTRATED ACTIVITIES (Check all appropriate boxes below; fill in information at appropriate spaces)
APPLICATION	Gave students time to apply what was learned		In a new situation during the lesson
			In their own situation after the lesson
	Gave students time to share their application (work)		Paired/grouped students
			Whole class
			Other:
	Gave students an opportunity to comment/evaluate each other's work, as appropriate		What was observed?
	Evaluated students' application of concepts		Used communicative activity
Z			Used a test
¥			Other:
EVALUATION	Gave students an opportunity to evaluate the lesson, as		Used written reflection
	appropriate		Used oral feedback
			Other:
	Gave students opportunities to review materials over		Assigned homework
	time		Used warm-up/closing activity
			Used review games or discussion
<b>B</b>			Other:
FOLLOW-UP	Gave students opportunities to ask questions		Orally or in writing during class
3			Posting electronically
요			Other:
	Gave students a task to further investigate content		Assigned homework
			Linked with future lessons
			Other:
	OBSERVER'S COMMENTS:		

Used with permission from the Technical College System of Georgia, Office of Adult Education

## References

- Attinello, J., Lare, D., & Waters, F. (2006). The value of teacher portfolios for evaluation and professional growth. *NASSP Bulletin*, *90*(2), 132-152.
- Bailey, K. M. (2006). *Language teacher supervision: A case-based approach*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- David, J. (2008). Classroom walk-throughs. *Educational Leadership*, 65(4), 81-82. Retrieved March 24, 2009, from http://www.ascd.org/publications/educational\_leadership/dec07/vol65/num04/Classroom\_Walk-Throughs.aspx
- Downey, C., Steffy, B., English, F., Frase, L., & Poston, W. (2004). *The three-minute classroom walk-through: Changing school super-visory practice one teacher at a time*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Powell, G. (1999). How to avoid being the fly on the wall. *The Teacher Trainer*, 13(1), 3-4.

- Quirke, P. (1996). Using unseen observations for an in-service teacher development programme. *The Teacher Trainer, 10*(1), 18-20.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2001). *Approaches and methods in language teaching*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Stoller, F. L. (1996). Teacher supervision: Moving towards an interactive approach. *English Teaching Forum, 34*(2), 2-9. Retrieved March 24, 2009, from http://eca.state.gov/forum/vols/vol34/no2/p2.htm
- Vasquez, C., & Reppen, R. (2007). Transforming practice: Changing patterns of participation in post-observation meetings. *Language Awareness*, 16(3), 153-172.
- Wajnryb, R. (1992). *Classroom observation tasks*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Young, S. (2009). Supporting and supervising teachers working with adults learning English. Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics.